FALSE CHRISTS AND FALSE PROPHETS

JESUS' WARNINGS AND THEIR FIRST-CENTURY FULFILMENT

Dr Neville Westerbeek van Eerten I D.Miss, BVSc

1. Introduction

A. UNDERSTANDING JESUS' WARNING

Do false christs and false prophets appearing today prove that we are living in the last days? Some Christians understand Jesus' warnings in Matthew 24 as predictions awaiting fulfilment in our own time. If a religious leader claims to be the Messiah or announces an extraordinary revelation, they regard it as evidence that Christ's final bodily coming is approaching.

To assess that conclusion, we first have to establish who Jesus was speaking to and what events He was referring to. He was giving his warnings to his disciples in the context of a discussion about the destruction of the Temple in Jerusalem. We must be very careful before we apply those warnings to ourselves and our own situation, and consider what they would have meant to the people to whom they were originally addressed.

Jesus spoke of a generation in which those things would take place.

Matthew 24:34 (NKJV)

> Assuredly, I say to you, this generation will by no means pass away till all these things take place.

This is a lesson on Jesus' warnings about false christs and false prophets in the first century. We look at His words, the apostles' testimony about deception in their day, and the historical record of people in that period claiming to be agents of divine deliverance before the destruction of Jerusalem in 70 CE.

The basic question is whether these sources in fact do provide evidence of the very deception which Jesus warned his disciples about. That's the question we have to answer, and to answer it, we're going to have to look at both the Scripture and the history.

B. False Christs, False Prophets and False Teachers

The terminology we're using here is related, but it doesn't all mean the same thing.

A false Christ is a false Messiah (someone who claims to be the Anointed One of God). "Christ" is just the Greek word for the Hebrew "Messiah" (the Anointed One). A false prophet claims to speak or act for God and, in fact, leads his followers astray. He may have a miraculous sign, promise deliverance, or tell people to go to a certain place where God will surely come and do something.

A false teacher teaches a distorted version of the truth. False teaching can be in the area of the identity of Jesus, the nature of salvation or how believers are to live. A person can be in more than one of those categories, but we have to be clear about what the evidence actually says in each case.

This is crucial when we're looking at the ancient sources. A leader who promised miraculous deliverance needn't have been claiming to be the Messiah. Likewise, an apostolic warning about false teachers doesn't, by itself, establish that those false teachers were actually carrying out the signs described in Matthew 24. We have to look at each source in its own context before we can say anything about its connection to Jesus' prophecy.

C. First-Century Fulfilment and Our Future Hope

Throughout this lesson, we distinguish between Jesus' first-century coming in judgment upon Jerusalem and His future final bodily coming. His kingship began at the ascension and coronation. The destruction of Jerusalem was His judgment upon that city; it didn't complete the work of redemption.

The future Final Consummation is Christ's final bodily coming, the resurrection, the final judgment, the destruction of death and the handing over of the Kingdom to the Father. The fact

© 2027

that we have already seen the first-century fulfilment of Jesus' warnings in no way diminishes this future hope.

It doesn't mean that deception ceased with the fall of Jerusalem. Christians are still called to examine all things and hold fast to the apostles' teaching. The historical fulfilment of a warning and its continuing instruction to the believer must both be recognised.

The fact that a deceiver is appearing today does not set a timetable for Christ's final bodily coming. Our job is to read the Word of God faithfully and respond with wisdom.

D. Examining the Evidence

We begin with Jesus' warnings in the Bible. Then we look at what the apostles wrote about false prophets, false teachers and opposition to the truth about Jesus Christ. We then turn to the historical accounts, what the authors actually wrote, and how we can tell the difference between that and our own interpretation of prophetic fulfilment.

This approach avoids unsupported statistics, uncertain dates presented as facts, and assertions that go beyond the evidence.

This study builds confidence in what Jesus said and deepens our grasp of His instruction. Understanding the historical context helps us appreciate the importance of His warnings and apply those lessons today.

---

2. Jesus' Warnings in Their First-Century Setting

A. The Temple and the Disciples' Questions

Matthew 24 begins with Jesus leaving the Temple. When His disciples drew His attention to its buildings, He announced their coming destruction. Later, on the Mount of Olives, they asked when these things would happen and what would be the sign of His coming and the end of the age (Matthew 24:1-3).

The Temple's destruction is therefore central to the discussion. Jesus was preparing His disciples for a judgment affecting Jerusalem and its people. His instructions to flee Judea, avoid delay, and pray about their escape give the discourse a clear geographical setting (Matthew 24:15–20).

His warnings about deception belong within that setting. As trouble approached, His followers would hear competing claims about God's purposes and the Messiah's arrival. They needed to recognise those claims and remember what He had told them.

B. Many Would Claim His Authority

Matthew 24:5 (NKJV)

> For many will come in My name, saying, 'I am the Christ,' and will deceive many.

Jesus warned that men would come, claim His messianic identity, and persuade others to follow them. "In My name" must be read in conjunction with the following claim: they would present themselves as the Christ. Their popularity would not make their claim true.

Jesus also spoke about false prophets.

Matthew 24:11 (NKJV)

> Then many false prophets will rise up and deceive many.

The two kinds of deception could reinforce each other. One person might claim to be the promised deliverer; another might claim that God had revealed where deliverance would occur or what people must do to receive it. Both could lead people away from Jesus' instruction.

The word 'many' is used repeatedly, and that speaks to the scale of the danger. It doesn't tell us what proportion of the population or of the church would be deceived.

© 2027

C. Promises of Signs and Deliverance

Matthew 24:23-26 (NKJV)

> "Then if anyone says to you, 'Look, here is the Christ!' or 'There!' do not believe it. For false christs and false prophets will rise and show great signs and wonders to deceive, if possible, even the elect. See, I have told you beforehand.
> "Therefore if they say to you, 'Look, He is in the desert!' do not go out; or 'Look, He is in the inner rooms!' do not believe it.

Jesus made the point that certain claims would be put forward to lead people to a supposed messianic presence. Some would claim that the Christ was in the desert; others would claim that He was in the inner rooms. His disciples were to have no regard for those claims.

The 'signs' they were to give would have made the danger even greater. An amazing feat or a spectacular display could have been used as proof that a man had a divine authority. Jesus was very clear to His disciples that they were to judge such claims by what He had said to them. Their faith in His word was the only thing that would keep them on the right track when all sorts of other voices cried out to do something else.

The word "elect" really underlines the power of the deception. It was aimed at God's people as much as anyone else. The warning calls for vigilance, not a statistic on how many believers would actually fall away.

When we're looking at the historical accounts, we have to be careful to distinguish between a promised sign and a reported sign. The fact that a leader said a river would be divided or a city's walls would fall is, in itself, what he said. It doesn't prove that the miracle was actually performed. That distinction allows us to compare the historical record with what Jesus said without going beyond what the accounts actually contain.

D. "This Generation"

Jesus had already warned about the coming judgment in the previous chapter.

Matthew 23:36 (NKJV)

> Assuredly, I say to you, all these things will come upon this generation.

In Matthew 23, Jesus speaks to the religious leaders and criticises them for rejecting God's messengers. He's talking about their accountability for that rejection. He then laments over Jerusalem before leaving the Temple.

Later, in the Mount of Olives discourse, He identified the generation again.

Matthew 24:34 (NKJV)

> Assuredly, I say to you, this generation will by no means pass away till all these things take place.

These comments link the warning of judgment with Jesus' own contemporaries. The subjects of the two passages are not quite the same, but the repeated reference to 'this generation' is enough to support the view that the discourse continues His warning to Jerusalem.

Some interpreters take Matthew 24:34 to refer to a future generation that will see the signs mentioned. That reading must account for the shift in the phrase "this generation" from the generation to whom Jesus was speaking to a generation that is not yet in existence. The first-century reading sees the expression continuing with Matthew 23 and with the instructions to His disciples.

This doesn't require us to treat 'generation' as a technical term meaning precisely forty years. The argument is about the generation of which Jesus spoke, not about the word having a fixed length.

© 2027

E. What the Warning Establishes

Jesus warned His disciples that false messiahs and false prophets would arise, gain followers, and make bold claims in the time and circumstances He described. He told them how to respond: reject any announcement contrary to His teaching and turn down any invitation to look for a Messiah elsewhere.

The first-century fulfilment of those warnings does not mean false prophets could not arise later. A prophecy relating to a specific historical situation doesn't necessarily mean that the same kind of deception couldn't occur in a different age.

We must therefore distinguish between fulfilment and continuing application. The historical question concerns the deceivers in the generation Jesus addressed. Continuing application is about being faithful to Christ when religious claims compete for our allegiance.

We now come to the apostles' own writings on false prophets and false teaching among the people to whom they preached.

---

3. The Apostles' Testimony Concerning Deception

A. False Prophets Were Already Active

Jesus warned His disciples that false prophets would arise and deceive many. In the apostles' writings, we see deception as a concern in the communities they addressed. They warned believers about misleading teaching, instructed them to examine spiritual claims, and identified opposition to the truth about Jesus Christ.

John gives a direct statement about the presence of false prophets.

1 John 4:1-3 (NKJV)

> Beloved, do not believe every spirit, but test the spirits, whether they are of God; because many false prophets have gone out into the world. By this you know the Spirit of God: Every spirit that confesses that Jesus Christ has come in the flesh is of God, and every spirit that does not confess that Jesus Christ has come in the flesh is not of God. And this is the spirit of the Antichrist, which you have heard was coming, and is now already in the world.

John was addressing an existing danger. False prophets had already gone out into the world, and the spirit of the Antichrist was already present. His readers needed discernment for their own circumstances.

This testimony establishes that false prophecy and opposition to Christ were realities in the apostolic church. Christians did not encounter these phenomena only in a distant future.

John's statement does not itself supply the calendar year in which he wrote. A precise date for the letter requires a separate historical argument. We should therefore distinguish what the passage explicitly establishes about John's own time from the further question of its relationship to Jerusalem's destruction.

B. The Truth About Jesus Christ

John places Jesus' identity at the centre of spiritual discernment. The decisive question concerns whether a teaching acknowledges Jesus Christ as having come in the flesh.

The incarnation means that the eternal Son truly became human. Jesus' humanity was real. His bodily life was not an appearance concealing a lack of genuine human nature. The apostles proclaimed Jesus Christ, the Son of God who entered human history.

A teacher could use spiritual language and still be in the wrong. Claims of revelation, claims of unusual experience, claims of religious authority in no way absolve the teacher of the need to be tested. John told the believers to test the spirits and not to accept them simply because they were said to be from God.

© 2027

This confession must be seen as an affirmation of the apostolic teaching concerning Jesus, not as a mere verbal formula severed from its content. A teacher cannot genuinely confess the incarnation if he is going to explain away the reality of the Son's humanity.

Later accounts of the teaching of Cerinthus and others may be of some assistance in our understanding of the various forms of opposition to the incarnation. John, of course, doesn't name Cerinthus in this passage, and he doesn't identify all the opponents as belonging to a single, coherent system called Gnosticism. We must establish John's teaching from his own words before we can relate it to later historical descriptions.

C. Antichrist in John's Own Time

John's reference to the spirit of the Antichrist is crucial to this study. He makes it clear to his readers that the opposition which they had been hearing about was already there. He instructs them to focus on the character of the teaching they were being presented with.

John addresses the same concern earlier in his letter.

1 John 2:18-19 (NKJV)

> Little children, it is the last hour; and as you have heard that the Antichrist is coming, even now many antichrists have come, by which we know that it is the last hour. They went out from us, but they were not of us; for if they had been of us, they would have continued with us; but they went out that they might be made manifest, that none of them were of us.

John speaks of his own time as the last hour, speaks of the multitude of antichrists and of those who had left the apostolic community. He argues that a division over the truth already exists.

These passages stop us from simply treating Antichrist as a term which can be applied to some future political or religious figure. John uses it to describe opposition to Christ that his readers could already recognise.

We mustn't therefore reduce all the biblical descriptions of evil to one term. John's antichrists, Jesus' false messiahs and all the other such figures need to be looked at in their own literary context before any connections between them can be suggested.

For John's readers, the onus was clearly upon them to remain true to the truth about the Son. Deception demanded discernment and perseverance.

D. Peter's Warning About False Teachers

Peter also cautions the believers about men who would bring in destructive teaching.

2 Peter 2:1-3 (NKJV)

> But there were also false prophets among the people, even as there will be false teachers among you, who will secretly bring in destructive heresies, even denying the Lord who bought them, and bring on themselves swift destruction. And many will follow their destructive ways, because of whom the way of truth will be blasphemed. By covetousness they will exploit you with deceptive words; for a long time their judgment has not been idle, and their destruction does not slumber.

Peter draws a parallel between the false prophets among God's people in the past and the false teachers who would arise among his readers. These false teachers would threaten the community from within.

The word 'heresies' here refers to destructive departures from the truth. Peter makes their seriousness quite clear by reference to teachers who would deny the Lord who bought them. It's not a matter of simply holding a harmless difference of opinion on some secondary point. It's a heresy which would result in a denial of allegiance to Christ.

The following verses connect this teaching with corrupt conduct, damage to the truth's reputation, and exploitation motivated by greed (2 Peter 2:2-3). Peter's concern therefore includes both what the teachers taught and how they treated people.

We have to keep his warning in exactly those words. In verse 1, he says false teachers will arise among his readers. That's a prediction to that community, not a statement that all the features of Jesus' warning in Matthew 24 had already been fulfilled.

© 2027

It's of considerable relevance. Peter clearly anticipated that the churches under apostolic care would face dangerous teaching and prepared them to identify it.

E. Paul's Warning to Church Leaders

Paul was just as concerned when he was speaking to the elders at Ephesus. He knew that after he left, destructive teachers would come in. He also expected men from among the elders themselves to arise and distort the truth.

Acts 20:29-31 (NKJV)

> For I know this, that after my departure savage wolves will come in among you, not sparing the flock. Also from among yourselves men will rise up, speaking perverse things, to draw away the disciples after themselves. Therefore watch, and remember that for three years I did not cease to warn everyone night and day with tears.

This warning adds a significant dimension to our understanding of the danger. It wasn't just the danger from a stranger claiming to be a man of extraordinary religious identity. The danger could also come from a trusted person within the church.

Paul identified the direction of their influence: they would be drawing disciples after themselves. Their teaching would redirect believers' allegiance to themselves.

The elders had to watch over both themselves and the people in their care. Familiarity, position and personal influence were no substitute for faithfulness to the truth.

Together with John's testimony and Peter's warning, Paul's instruction makes it clear why discernment was such an essential responsibility in the apostolic churches.

F. What the Apostolic Evidence Establishes

The apostles were very aware of the danger of deception to the people they ministered to. John said false prophets had already appeared. Peter warned his readers about destructive teachers. Paul prepared the elders in Ephesus for threats from both outside and within.

These passages are very clear evidence of deception in the apostolic context. They also help explain the nature of that deception: denying the truth about Christ, rejecting apostolic teaching, exploiting people, and pursuing personal followers.

They don't independently identify every false messiah referred to in Matthew 24, nor do they demonstrate every claimed sign or establish the date of each historical event. Those questions will require further evidence.

Next we look at the historical accounts. We look at the leaders who promised deliverance, the claims they made and the effect that they had on those who followed them. This helps us assess their place in relation to Jesus' warnings without blurring the biblical testimony or the historical evidence.

---

4. Historical Evidence of Prophetic Claimants

A. Reading the Historical Accounts

Jesus warned about people who would claim religious authority, attract followers and offer persuasive signs. Historical accounts describe several movements of this kind during the decades preceding Jerusalem's destruction.

Josephus provides the most substantial collection of these accounts. His descriptions are frequently hostile: he portrays the leaders as deceivers whose promises brought suffering upon their followers. We must distinguish the events he reports from his judgments about the people involved.

The accounts vary in what they actually say. Some identify a man who claimed to be a prophet. Others refer to promises of deliverance without suggesting that the speaker was the Messiah. We need to be careful to maintain those distinctions when we go on to compare them with Matthew 24.

© 2027

The following are examples of the way promises of miraculous divine intervention would have attracted followers at that time. They related to the prophetic claims through the nature of the claims themselves, the historical context, and the response they elicited.

B. The Samaritan Leader Under Pilate

Towards the end of Pontius Pilate's administration, around 36 CE, a Samaritan leader persuaded people to gather for an ascent of Mount Gerizim. He promised to reveal sacred vessels which, he claimed, Moses had deposited there.

Josephus reports that an armed gathering assembled at Tirathaba. Pilate sent cavalry and infantry to prevent the ascent. People were killed or captured, and Pilate ordered leading prisoners to be executed. The Samaritan council subsequently complained to Vitellius, the governor of Syria, whose intervention led to Pilate being ordered to Rome.[1]

The appeal depended upon a connection with Moses and the promise of a religious discovery at a sacred location. Josephus does not explicitly say that this leader called himself the Messiah or that his followers gave him that title.

This incident therefore belongs among movements built around extraordinary religious claims. It should not be presented as an unambiguous example of a man declaring himself to be Christ. Its relevance lies in the leader's ability to gather followers around a promise associated with divine authority.

C. Theudas and the Promised Division of the Jordan

Josephus places Theudas during the administration of Cuspius Fadus, approximately 44–46 CE. Theudas claimed to be a prophet and persuaded people to take their possessions and follow him to the River Jordan. He promised that the river would divide at his command, allowing them to cross.

Fadus sent cavalry against the gathering. Many followers were killed or captured, and Theudas was captured and beheaded. Josephus reports the promised miracle but does not report that the river divided.[2]

The promise recalls the biblical accounts of passage through water under Moses and Joshua. That resemblance helps explain the claim's religious significance, although Josephus does not explicitly describe Theudas as a new Moses or Joshua.

Acts 5:36 also mentions a Theudas. However, Gamaliel's speech places that figure before Judas the Galilean and the census, whereas Josephus places his Theudas under Fadus. Identifying the two figures creates a chronological problem. This account therefore follows Josephus' stated setting without assuming that the two references concern the same individual.

The evidence is clear on the central point: Josephus records a prophetic claimant who attracted followers by promising an extraordinary sign.

D. The Egyptian Prophet During Felix's Administration

During Felix's administration, in the 50s CE, a leader known as the Egyptian gathered followers and brought them to the Mount of Olives. According to Josephus' Antiquities, he claimed to be a prophet and promised that Jerusalem's walls would fall at his command, opening a way into the city.

Felix, the Roman governor, sent troops against the gathering. The Egyptian escaped, while followers were killed or captured.[3]

In The Jewish War, Josephus describes the Egyptian leading followers from the wilderness to the Mount of Olives and gives their number as thirty thousand. That figure should be attributed to Josephus rather than presented as an independently verified count. His two accounts emphasise different aspects of the movement.[4]

The promised collapse of Jerusalem's walls recalls the fall of Jericho in Joshua 6. This biblical comparison draws on the character of the promise, rather than an explanation Josephus supplies.

© 2027

The account provides a particularly relevant comparison with Jesus' warning. A prophetic leader gathered followers, directed them towards a particular location and promised an extraordinary intervention. Josephus records the attraction of the claim and the failure of the movement; he does not record the promised collapse of the walls.

E. The Wilderness Leader Under Festus

Josephus describes another unnamed leader during the administration of Porcius Festus, approximately 60–62 CE. This man promised people deliverance from their troubles if they followed him into the wilderness.

Festus sent cavalry and infantry against the group. Josephus reports that the leader and his followers were killed.[5]

Josephus places it under Festus, and that administrative setting should govern its position in the chronology. He also does not explicitly record a promise that the followers would defeat the Roman army. His description concerns deliverance from their existing miseries.

The resemblance to Jesus' warning about invitations into the desert is significant. People were being urged to leave their surroundings and follow a leader to a place where relief was promised. Their hope of deliverance made the invitation persuasive.

The passage illustrates the kind of danger Jesus had prepared His disciples for. A promise of rescue, even when backed by religious authority, was not enough to justify abandoning His instruction.

F. False Promises at the Temple in 70 CE

One of the strongest examples comes from Josephus' account of the Temple's destruction.

He describes people gathering in a cloister of the outer court and perishing when it was burned. He links their presence to a false prophet who announced that God commanded people to go to the Temple, where they would receive signs of deliverance.

Josephus also reports that the leaders controlling the city employed false prophets to encourage people to expect divine intervention and prevent them from deserting. He explains how suffering made people receptive to promises that their misery would soon end.[6]

This account places false prophecy within the catastrophe surrounding Jerusalem's destruction. The message offered rescue at the very place where its followers met death.

The connection with Jesus' warnings is substantial. Religious claims persuaded people to expect deliverance and directed their actions during a period of judgment. Josephus' description gives a concrete historical example of the terrible consequences of trusting such promises.

It does not name the prophet or establish that he claimed to be the Messiah. Its force lies in what it does record: a claim to speak for God, a promised sign of deliverance and people deceived into a fatal course of action.

G. Dositheus and an Explicit Messianic Claim

Dositheus requires separate treatment because the relevant testimony comes from a later Christian author rather than from Josephus.

Origen reports that, after Jesus' time, Dositheus the Samaritan tried to persuade Samaritans that he was the Christ Moses predicted. He also states that Dositheus gained some followers.[7]

Unlike several earlier accounts, this report explicitly attributes a messianic claim to its subject. However, Origen wrote long after the events he describes. His testimony must therefore be identified as a later report, not an eyewitness account.

Origen's account does not give a precise date for Dositheus' activity or securely place it before Jerusalem's destruction. His testimony supports identifying Dositheus as a reported messianic claimant, but the argument for fulfilment within Jesus' generation should not depend on an uncertain date for his movement.

© 2027

H. The Historical Pattern

These accounts show that prophetic claims and promises of extraordinary deliverance attracted followers in the decades leading up to Jerusalem's destruction. People gathered at a mountain, a river, the wilderness and the Temple because leaders offered them reasons to expect divine intervention.

The evidence is strongest for prophetic claimants and promises of signs. Explicit declarations of messianic identity are less clearly documented in this selection. Preserving that distinction strengthens the argument by allowing each account to contribute what it actually establishes.

Read alongside Matthew 24, these events provide substantial historical support for the first-century setting of Jesus' warnings. His instruction addressed dangers that took recognisable forms within that generation.

The historical accounts do not independently demonstrate every detail of the prophecy. In particular, a promised miracle must not be represented as a verified supernatural event. Nevertheless, the recurring pattern of persuasive religious claims, gathered followers and disastrous expectations helps us understand why Jesus warned His disciples so urgently.

Their protection lay in attending to His words when other voices promised a different path to deliverance.

---

5. Understanding the Fulfilment of Jesus' Warning

A. Bringing Scripture and History Together

The biblical and historical evidence now allows us to assess the relationship between Jesus' warning and the events of the first century.

Jesus identified false christs and false prophets as dangers His disciples would encounter. He warned that these figures would attract followers, make persuasive claims and direct people towards supposed manifestations of divine deliverance. He placed His warning within the events associated with the generation He addressed.

The apostles' writings show that deception also threatened the churches. John reported false prophets and opposition to the truth about Christ. Peter and Paul warned believers about destructive teaching within their communities.

Josephus supplies accounts of prophetic claimants during the decades before Jerusalem's destruction and of false promises during the catastrophe itself. These accounts place the dangers Jesus described within a recognisable historical setting.

Taken together, the evidence supports the first-century fulfilment of His warning. The disciples had good reason to heed His instruction in their own lifetime. We do not need to move the warning into our own future to find circumstances in which it had direct relevance.

B. How Far Does the Historical Evidence Reach?

A sound argument must distinguish its central conclusion from claims that its evidence cannot establish.

Josephus documents prophetic leaders who attracted followers, promised signs, and produced disastrous outcomes. His accounts strongly support religious deception during the period under consideration. However, he does not provide a complete catalogue of every deceiver who appeared, and he does not describe every leader as claiming the title of Messiah.

Nor do his accounts independently establish that every sign mentioned in Jesus' warning occurred. Several describe miracles that leaders promised but which Josephus does not report as occurring. The promised division of a river and the promised collapse of walls must remain promises in our account.

This limitation does not overturn the historical correspondence. It defines what the surviving evidence can demonstrate. We can affirm that prophetic claimants gathered followers around

© 2027

expectations of extraordinary deliverance without claiming that an ancient historian verified every feature of Matthew 24.

The argument is strongest when each source is allowed to speak accurately. Historical evidence supports the first-century reading; we should not make it say more than its authors recorded.

C. Were the Deceivers Successful?

The success of deception must be distinguished from the success of its promises.

A leader could fail to deliver what he announced while still persuading people to follow him. The disastrous end of a movement does not mean that its followers were never deceived. Their actions may demonstrate how deeply they trusted its claims.

Jesus' warning concerned this danger. People would accept false religious claims and act upon them. Josephus' accounts describe followers gathering, travelling to designated places and expecting intervention. The promised rescue failed, but the deception had already influenced their decisions.

The account at the Temple is especially sobering. People expected deliverance where they instead encountered destruction. Their sincere hope did not make the prophet's message true.

We therefore do not need an unsupported statistic about the proportion of the church influenced by later teaching to demonstrate that deception had consequences. The historical accounts already show people being persuaded to follow claims that brought them into danger.

D. The Meaning of "If Possible, Even the Elect"

Matthew 24:24 (NKJV)

> For false christs and false prophets will rise and show great signs and wonders to deceive, if possible, even the elect.

The reference to the elect emphasises the seriousness of the deception. God's people would be targeted by claims sufficiently persuasive to require an explicit warning from Jesus.

The verse should not be rewritten as a declaration that all the elect would be deceived. It also does not give a numerical measure of their involvement. Its wording directs attention to the danger and to the need to heed Jesus' instruction.

Christians differ over how this expression relates to believers' perseverance. That wider discussion need not be settled before recognising the practical force of the passage. Jesus warned His disciples so that they would reject the misleading announcements.

The appropriate response is neither self-confidence nor fear. Believers must remain attentive to Christ's teaching. A claim does not become trustworthy because it is impressive, widely accepted or accompanied by an experience that seems extraordinary.

E. Historical Fulfilment and Continuing Discernment

Recognising first-century fulfilment does not remove the continuing responsibility to examine religious teaching.

John's instruction to test spiritual claims remains valuable because it identifies the truth about Jesus Christ as essential to discernment. Peter's warning exposes the connection between destructive teaching and exploitation. Paul's warning reminds church leaders that deception may arise within their own community.

These instructions continue to guide believers without requiring us to relocate the historical setting of Jesus' prophecy. A passage can concern particular events and still teach principles that apply beyond them.

The appearance of a false prophet today therefore calls for discernment. It does not, by itself, demonstrate that the first-century warning remains unfulfilled or establish how near Christ's final bodily coming may be.

We should examine what a teacher says about Jesus, whether the teaching agrees with Scripture and whether the person's influence directs believers towards faithfulness to Christ.

© 2027

These questions are more useful than treating every new deceiver as a sign that a prophetic timetable has begun.

F. Confidence in Christ's Instruction

Jesus prepared His disciples for a period in which competing religious claims would demand their attention. His warning gave them a basis for resisting those claims before the pressure arrived.

The lesson for believers is substantial. Faithfulness does not depend upon following the most dramatic announcement or joining the largest movement. It requires careful attention to the truth Christ entrusted to His people.

The first-century evidence helps us understand the historical force of His warning. Its continuing application calls us to the same allegiance: to receive the truth about Jesus Christ, examine claims made in God's name and refuse promises that lead us away from His teaching.

---

6. Conclusion: Discernment Without Speculation

A. Jesus Prepared His Disciples

Jesus' warnings about false christs and false prophets were part of His instruction about the events His disciples would face. His references to Jerusalem, the Temple, Judea and "this generation" give those warnings a historical setting.

The apostolic writings show that deception was a serious concern among the early churches. Historical accounts also describe prophetic claimants who gathered followers around promises of extraordinary deliverance before and during Jerusalem's destruction.

This evidence supports the first-century fulfilment of Jesus' warning. It does not independently verify every detail of every sign, nor does it justify calling every prophetic claimant a false messiah—the distinction between what Scripture declares and what historical sources document must remain clear.

Jesus gave His disciples instruction they could act upon. They were to reject misleading announcements, refuse invitations to seek a supposed Messiah elsewhere and remain faithful to what He had told them.

B. Discernment Remains Necessary

Historical fulfilment does not make believers immune to deception. Religious claims still require examination, and those who teach in Christ's name remain accountable to the truth about Him.

The apostolic instruction gives us a clear basis for discernment. John directs attention to the identity of Jesus Christ and the reality of His incarnation. Peter exposes teaching that denies the Lord and exploits people. Paul warns against leaders who distort the truth to draw disciples after themselves.

These concerns reach beyond outward appearances. A teacher may speak confidently, attract a following or describe impressive spiritual experiences. None of these qualities removes the responsibility to examine the message.

Discernment also requires care in making accusations. Disagreement over a secondary matter does not, by itself, establish that someone is a false prophet or a false teacher. We must consider the person's actual claims, teaching and conduct, and explain our concerns from Scripture rather than relying on suspicion or personal hostility.

The purpose is to protect faithfulness to Christ and the well-being of His people.

© 2027

C. Our Hope in Christ's Final Bodily Coming

The first-century fulfilment of these warnings must remain distinct from the future Final Consummation.

Christ began His reign at His ascension and coronation. His first-century coming in judgment against Jerusalem did not exhaust the Christian hope. His future final bodily coming, the resurrection, final judgment, the destruction of death and the delivery of the Kingdom to the Father remain part of that hope.

We therefore need neither to deny future fulfilment elsewhere in Scripture nor to transfer fulfilled warnings into our own time. Each passage must be understood according to its subject, context and place within God's purposes.

The appearance of a deceiver today does not establish a date for Christ's final bodily coming. Our confidence rests in Christ Himself, and our responsibility is to remain faithful while awaiting the completion of His work.

D. Faithfulness in the Present

The study of prophecy should strengthen our understanding of Scripture and our obedience to Christ. It should equip us to recognise error without making speculation the centre of Christian life.

Jesus' disciples needed to hear His words clearly amid promises of rescue and competing claims to divine authority. Believers today likewise need a settled commitment to the truth about Him.

We can recognise the historical fulfilment of His warnings, exercise discernment in the present and look forward to His final bodily coming. These convictions belong together.

Our calling is to remain faithful to Jesus Christ, care for those entrusted to us and teach His word accurately. In doing so, we honour both the warning He gave and the hope He has placed before His people.

AI Assistance Disclosure

Most of the research and writing for this lesson was prayerfully undertaken by Dr Neville Westerbeek van Eerten. AI tools assisted with editorial review and checks of consistency, references and chronology. Some wording was developed with AI assistance. The author reviewed the final material and retains responsibility for its interpretations, accuracy and conclusions.

Historical and Scholarly References

---

[1] Josephus, *Antiquities of the Jews*, 18.4.1–2, §§85–89, translated by William Whiston. Text. For the approximate dating, see Nathan C. Johnson, “Early Jewish Sign Prophets,” *Critical Dictionary of Apocalyptic and Millenarian Movements*, subsection “The Samaritan.” Article

[2] Josephus, *Antiquities of the Jews*, 20.5.1, §§97–99, translated by William Whiston. Text

[3] Josephus, *Antiquities of the Jews*, 20.8.6, §§169–172, translated by William Whiston. Text

[4] Josephus, *The Jewish War*, 2.13.5, §§261–263, translated by William Whiston. Text

[5] Josephus, *Antiquities of the Jews*, 20.8.10, §188, translated by William Whiston. Text. For the approximate administrative dates of Fadus and Festus, see “Judea as a Roman Province, AD 6–66,” *Religious Studies Center*. Chapter

[6] Josephus, *The Jewish War*, 6.5.2, §§283–287, translated by William Whiston. Text

[7] Origen, *Against Celsus*, 1.57, translated by Frederick Crombie, in *Ante-Nicene Fathers*, volume 4. Text

© 2027